

Received 29 January 2012; accepted 24 April 2013; available online 3 May 2013.

*Correspondence to: Ming Tang, Center for Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China (e-mail: tangming@pku.edu.cn).

Center for Brain and Cognitive Sciences and Department of Psychology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
Key Laboratory of Machine Perception (Ministry of Education), Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
Key Laboratory of Computational Linguistics (Ministry of Education), Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 January 2012

Accepted 24 April 2013

Available online 3 May 2013

Keywords:

functional connectivity; brain network; resting-state fMRI; graph theory; semantic processing

600 .. (.., .., .., & .., 2004; .., .., .., & .., 2007; .., .., .., 2008; .., .., .., .., & .., 2009).

fi .. 400

7.2(3())2-10.6(1)-3692 .4 .82 .81 .824 40820 14.78 .4824

$\text{M} = 3.81$, $p = 0.81$) $\text{M} = 3.79$,
 $p < 0.05$.

ni-de), ⁶² en el que se incluye la descripción de los procedimientos para la obtención de los datos.

2.5. EEG recording




Fig. 2. Topographic maps of the mean amplitude of the P3 component at 12 electrode sites (T9, T7, T5, T3, T1, T2, T4, T6, T8, T10, T12, O1) for the control group (n=12) during the 'Status-equil' condition. The topographic maps are plotted at 0, 200, 400, 600, 800, 1000, 1200, and 1600 ms post-stimulus. The color bar indicates the electrode sites. The bottom panel shows the mean amplitude of the P3 component for the control group (n=12) during the 'Status-equil' condition. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

–0.57, -0.53μ , $F(1,29)=5.59$, $p < 0.05$, fi, nin-de, ni-de, nin-de, M, fi, $F(8,232)=3.78$, $p < 0.05$, $F(2,58)=2.65$, $0.05 < p < 0.01$, fi

$F(1,29) = 3.94, p < 0.05$) ; $F(1,29) = 10.75, p < 0.005$; : $F(1,29) = 12.25, p < 0.005$; : $F(1,29) = 7.01, p < 0.05$).


($F < 1$, ni-de, $F(2,58) = 3.44$, $p < 0.05$, $F(1,29) = 7.75$, $p < 0.01$, $F(1,29) = 2.74$, $0.05 < p < 0.1$, $F < 1$).

$$(-0.78 \mu) \text{ fi} \quad (-0.72 \mu). \\ (F < 1, \dots, 2).$$

nin-de ni-de, F < 1.
 (-0.55μ) ni-de nin-de: $F(1,29)=4.02$, $0.05 < p < 0.1$,
 4.79 , $p < 0.05$. (-0.68μ) , $F(1,29)=$
 $nin-de: F(1,29)=5.59$, $p < 0.05$. (-0.76μ) ni-de

3.2.2. The status-consistency effects in the 500–800 ms window

, $F < 1$, fi fi



5. ni-de nin-de 200 1600
300-500 ()

, F(2,58)=4.91, p < 0.05.

ni-de,
fi , $F(2,58)=3.34$, $p < 0.05$,

nin-de,
 $F(2,58)=3.97$, $p < 0.05$,

fi

(0.72 μ)
F(120) = 4.18, $p < 0.05$; 0.62 μ

$F(1,29)=3.44$, $p < 0.05$)

$p \leq 0.05$

fi , F(4,116)=4.56,

(1.03 μ)

(0.71 μ)
). fi

; 0.54 μ

h (

1) *... (text continues)*

$r=0.41$, $p < 0.05$. , $F < 1$,

4. D₁-K₁₁₁₁

(nin-de)

(nin-de)

fi

fi

(..., 2012),

400

... (2002). *Revising the concept of text*. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 34, 11–25.
... (2002). *Text*. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 34, 29–55.